1	Timothy M. Bechtold	
2	BECHTOLD LAW FIRM, PLLC PO Box 7051	
3	Missoula, MT 59807	
4	406-721-1435	
5	tim@bechtoldlaw.net	
6	Kristine M. Akland	
7	AKLAND LAW FIRM, PLLC PO Box 7274	
8	Missoula, MT 59807	
9	406-544-9863	
10	aklandlawfirm@gmail.com	
	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
11		
12	IN THE UNITED ST	TATES DISTRICT COURT
13		RICT OF MONTANA
14	ALLIANCE FOR THE WILD	LA DIVISION
15	ROCKIES,	
16	Dlaintiff	CV 17-64-DLC
17	Plaintiff,	
18	V.	DECLARATION OF TIMOTHY
19	ENVIRONMENTAL	BECHTOLD
20	PROTECTION AGENCY,	
21	Defendant.	
22	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I, Timothy	Bechtold, declare as follows:
23		
24		of the petition for attorney fees and other case. I am co-counsel on this case, and
25	competent to make this Declaration	
26	2. I received an A.B. from Harvard	College in 1984. My academic concentration
_~		ogy. I received an M.S. in Environmental

Bechtold Declaration Page 1 of 5

Studies from the University of Montana in 1992. My academic focus while in

1 this master's program was public land use policy and law. My master's thesis was an analysis of timber management on the former Northern Pacific 2 landgrant in Montana. I received a J.D. with high honors from the University 3 of Montana in 2000, and was admitted to the Montana bar on October 6, 2000. While in law school, I was editor of the *Public Land and Resources Law* 4 Review, and published a law review article on the listing of the bull trout under 5 the Endangered Species Act. 6 After graduation from law school, I clerked for the Honorable Donald W. 3. 7 Molloy, then Chief Judge of the District of Montana, for two years. During the course of this clerkship I also had opportunity to assist Judge Molloy when he 8 sat with the Ninth Circuit. 9 After my clerkship with Judge Molloy, I entered into private practice on 10 September 1, 2002 with the law firm of Rossbach Brennan, PC, which became 11 Rossbach Hart Bechtold, PC in November, 2003. In January, 2008 I left Rossbach Hart Bechtold, PC and formed a solo practice, Bechtold Law Firm, 12 PLLC. 13 5. I was chairman of the Federal Practice Section of the Montana State Bar 14 Association from 2004-2012, and was President of the Montana Chapter of the 15 Federal Bar Association from 2010-2012. I was also a member of the Local Rules Committee for the District of Montana from 2001-2006. I have served on 16 the Board of Directors of the Montana Trial Lawyers Association since 2010, 17 and I am currently the immediate Past-President of the Board of the Montana Trial Lawyers Association. I am rated Martindale-Hubbard AV-Preeminent, 18 am a National Trial Lawyer Top 100 Trial Lawyer, a Lifetime Who's Who Top 19 Lawyer, and I am a Life Fellow of the American Bar Foundation. 20 I am admitted to practice in the State of Montana, the United States District 21 Court for the District of Montana, the United States District Court for the District of Colorado, and the Second, Third, Sixth, Ninth, Tenth, and District of 22 Columbia Circuit Courts of Appeals. Additionally, I have appeared pro hac 23

7. I specialize primarily in the litigation of environmental matters. I have taught a graduate-level seminar on the National Forest Management Act and the National Environmental Policy Act at the University of Montana, I have been a guest lecturer on environmental topics at the University of Montana School of

vice in district court litigation in Alaska, Oregon, Washington, California,

Utah, Kentucky, Pennsylvania, and the District of Columbia.

24

25

26

Bechtold Declaration Page 2 of 5

26

Law and the Vermont Law School, and I have presented on environmental topics at Continuing Legal Education seminars. My case load includes suits involving environmental restoration and remediation, management of federal public land and wildlife, land use, citizen suits under the Clean Water Act, as well as suits brought under the citizen suit and cost recovery provisions of CERCLA and RCRA.

Representative federal cases on which I have been actively involved as an 8. attorney include Montanans for Multiple Use v. Barbouletos, 568 F.3d 225 (D.C. Cir. 2009) (representing defendant-intervenors in challenge to Forest Service authority to manage various aspects of the Flathead National Forest based on, *inter alia*, Organic Act and Multiple Use Sustained Yield Act); Cabinet Resources Group v. Asarco, CV 02-209-M-DWM (D. Mont.)(a case alleging violations of RCRA and CERCLA, eventually settled in bankruptcy); Friends of the Wild Swan v. US Forest Service, CV 03-161-M-DWM (D. Mont.); Swan View Coalition v. Barbouletos, CV 03-112-M-DWM (D. Mont.), 348 Fed. Appx. 295 (9th Cir. 2009) (requiring the Forest Service to establish a standard when forest uses compete with grizzly bears); Forest Service Employees for Environmental Ethics v. US Forest Service, 397 F.Supp.2d 391 (D. Mont. 2005)(requiring Forest Service to comply with NEPA and ESA for aerial application of fire retardant); Forest Service Employees for Environmental Ethics v. US Forest Service, 530 F.Supp.2d 1126 (D.Mont. 2008)(contempt hearing appropriate for Forest Service's failure to comply with court order); Forest Service Employees for Environmental Ethics v. US Forest Service, 726 F.Supp.2d 1195 (D.Mont 2010)(Forest Service violated NEPA, NFMA, and ESA in preparing fire retardant EA); Alliance for the Wild Rockies v. Bradford, 720 F.Supp.2d 1193 (D.Mont 2010) (Kootenai National Forest violated NEPA, NFMA, and ESA in preparing three timber sale projects); Alliance for the Wild Rockies v. Lyder, 726 F.Supp.2d 1126 (D.Mont. 2010)(U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service critical habitat designation for lynx insufficient); Alliance for the Wild Rockies v. Krueger, 950 F.Supp.2d 1172 (D.Mont. 2013)(federal agencies must conduct consultation for migratory species). Swan View Coalition v. Weber, 52 F.Supp.3d 1133 (D. Mont. 2014)(federal agency must conform to law on newly-acquired lands); Alliance for the Wild Rockies v. USDA, 772 F.3d 592 (9th Cir. 2014)(complaints may be amended to include ESA claims after 60-day notice runs); Wildearth Guardians v. U.S. Department of the Interior, 205 F.Supp.3d 1176 (D. Mont 2016)(U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service critical habitat designation for lynx insufficient); Alliance for the Wild Rockies v. Bradford, 856 F.3d 1238 (9th Cir. 2017)(ineffective road closures violate NFMA and ESA); Alliance for the Wild

Bechtold Declaration Page 3 of 5

1

Rockies v. Marten, 253 F.Supp.3d 1108 (D. Mont. 2017)(injunction warranted for logging project in lynx critical habitat); Alliance for the Wild Rockies v. 2 Zinke, 265 F.Supp.3d 1161 (D. Mont. 2017)(not warranted finding by FWS for 3 Cabinet-Yaak grizzly bear population was arbitrary and capricious). 4 9. The area of federal environmental litigation is complex and specialized. It 5 requires an understanding of the complexities of federal case law, statutes, and regulations. 6 7 I have litigated issues specific to bull trout and critical habitat in numerous 10. cases, and have acquired highly-relevant knowledge of both the biology of bull 8 trout and the habitat needs of bull trout in addition to the legal aspects of 9 identifying and designating critical habitat for listed species. 10 11. I am considered a specialist with distinctive skills in environmental law by 11 environmental and conservation advocacy organizations, and my legal services are highly sought after by these organizations. 12 13 12. Because environmental litigation is an area of my specialty, as well as the specialty of co-counsel Kristine Akland, we were able to litigate this matter 14 efficiently and effectively. Because I am in private practice and do not earn a 15 salary from an organization, I carry a heavy caseload that requires me to allocate my time efficiently. In this case, we obtained a favorable result for our 16 clients while expending very reasonable hours. 17 I am requesting to be compensated at an hourly rate of \$365 for district court 13. 18 work in 2016, \$390 for district court work in 2017, and \$415 for district court 19 work in 2018. These are reasonable rates for my level of skill and expertise. Moreover, these rates are based on the rates awarded me by this Court and the 20 Ninth Circuit for prior litigation work and reflect cost of living and expertise 21 enhancements. The Ninth Circuit Appellate Commissioner has determined that it is reasonable to increase hourly rates \$25 each year for specialized 22 environmental work. Pollinator Stewardship Council v. U.S. EPA, 2017 WL 23 3096105 at *6 (9th Cir 2017). 24 I maintain detailed, contemporaneous daily records for each tenth of an hour of 14. 25 work time. These records are broken down by time expended and general purpose of the work. The daily records of my work accompany this

Bechtold Declaration Page 4 of 5

declaration as Exhibit 1.

1 2	15.	In reviewing the daily time records for work performed in connection with this case, I have exercised billing judgment and eliminated excess and duplicative	
3		entries.	
4	16.	In my opinion, the professional hours detailed in my daily records that I am	
5		submitting to the Court were reasonable and necessarily expended for the preparation and successful presentation of this case. In my opinion, these	
6		hours represent time that would properly be charged to a commercial client.	
7		As detailed in Attachment 1, the total number of hours expended is 23.7. At the rates explained above, and as detailed in Exhibit 1, my total fee request at	
8		this time is for \$9273.00.	
9			
10	I decl	lare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	
11 12			
13	Dated	d this 20th day of February, 2018.	
14			
15		/s/Timothy M. Bechtold	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21 22			
23			
24			
25			
,			

Bechtold Declaration Page 5 of 5

A

Case 9:17-cv-00064-DLC Document 20-7 Filed 02/20/18 Page 7 of 8

	<u>Case 9:17-cv-00064-DLC Document 20-7</u>	110000		
ate	Description	Rate	Time	Amount
			Billed	billed
	call w Mike Garrity re BT CH	365	0.30	109.50
	emails w Mike re CH and issues	365	0.40	146.00
	call w Mike Garrity re BT CH	365	0.20	73.00
	research EPA operation re BT	365	3.60	1,314.00
	review ROD	390	2.20	858.00
	revise 60-day	390	0.50	195.00
	EPA article, call w Mike	390	0.30	117.00
	EPA article, conf w Kristine, call w Mike	390	0.30	117.00
	EPA response	390	0.20	78.0
	conf Kristine, call w Mike	390	0.40	156.0
	review ROD	390	0.50	195.0
	conf w Kristine status, strategy	390	0.20	78.0
	revise complaint	390	1.00	390.0
	EPA foia response	390	0.20	78.0
	complaint and summons	390	0.10	39.0
	revise 60-day notices	390	0.40	156.0
	call w Mike re status	390	0.30	117.0
6/2/2017	email from DOJ, conf w Kristine	390	0.10	39.0
	Rickey NOA	390	0.10	39.0
6/5/2017	Rickey more time motion	390	0.10	39.0
6/6/2017	more time order	390	0.10	39.0
6/6/2017	CMP order	390	0.10	39.0
6/15/2017	BA section from Rickey	390	0.80	312.0
	conf w Kristine re status	390	0.30	117.0
6/22/2017	calls w Mike re status	390	0.30	117.0
6/25/2017	butte paper article on EPA cleanup, emails w Mike	390	0.40	156.0
	email from Rickey re dismiss	390	0.10	39.0
	conf w Kristine re dismiss	390	0.10	39.0
7/5/2017	emails re reinitiation w DOJ	390	0.20	78.0
	email from Rickey	390	0.10	39.0
	emails w Rickey re call	390	0.10	39.0
	EPA letter from Rickey	390	0.20	78.0
	call w Rickey	390	0.20	78.0
	conf call w Kristine and Mike	390	0.20	78.0
	email from Rickey re next steps, call w Mike	390	0.30	117.0
	emails from Rickey	390	0.10	39.0
	emails w Rickey, conf w Kristine	390	0.10	39.0
	more time motion	390	0.10	39.0
	more time order	390	0.10	39.0
	continue CMP motion	390	0.20	78.0
	Rickey email re BA	390	0.10	39.0
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	extension order	390	0.10	39.0
	conf w Kristine, call w Mike re stay	390	0.40	156.0
	Rickey emails re stay	390	0.20	78.0
	Rickey email re CMP, conf w Kristine	390	0.20	78.0 78.0
	call w Mike re EPA cleanup status	390	0.20	39.0
		- <del>}</del>		
	Rickey emails re CMP, conf w Kristine	390	0.30	117.0
	CMP filed	390	0.10	39.0
	stay order	390	0.10	39.0
	stay motion	390	0.10	39.0
	stay order	390	0.10	39.0
	call w Mike re status	390	0.10	39.0
11/30/2017	email from Rickey re status, emails w Mike	390	0.30	117.0
	email to Rickey	390	0.10	39.0

40/44/0047	Case 9:17-cv-00064-DLC Docน์กิเซิกt 20-7			
12/11/2017		390	0.10	39.00
	emails from Rickey	415	0.10	41.50
	review BA and cover letter	415	0.70	290.50
	conf w Kristine re status and strategy	415	0.50	207.5
	conf w Kristine, emails w client re status	415	0.20	83.0
	revise dismiss stip	415	0.30	124.5
	emails w Rickey, revise dismiss stip	415	0.30	124.5
	email from Rickey, dismiss stip	415	0.10	41.5
	draft fee dec	415	1.90	788.5
	dismiss order	415	0.10	41.5
2/16/2018	revise fee brief	415	1.10	456.5
2/20/2018	fee dec and brief	415	0.40	166.0
				_
For profession	onal services rendered		23.70	9,273.0
			23.70	9,273.0
	Copies/Printing		23.70	9,273.0
	Copies/Printing Miscellaneous		23.70	9,273.0
	Copies/Printing		23.70	9,273.0
	Copies/Printing Miscellaneous		23.70	9,273.0
	Copies/Printing Miscellaneous		23.70	9,273.0
	Copies/Printing Miscellaneous		23.70	9,273.0
	Copies/Printing Miscellaneous		23.70	9,273.0
EXPENSES:	Copies/Printing Miscellaneous		23.70	9,273.0
EXPENSES:	Copies/Printing Miscellaneous		23.70	
EXPENSES:	Copies/Printing Miscellaneous		23.70	9,273.0
EXPENSES:	Copies/Printing Miscellaneous		23.70	

FEES AND EXPENSES